IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO.6517 of 2003

Between:

1. V.Kanakadurga, W/o.Bhaskar Rao,

   Amalapuram, East Godavari District.

2. Y.Karuna, D/o.Suryanarayana,

   Amalapuram, East Godavari District.

3. L.M.Karunamma, D/o.Manoharam,

   Sarpalli, East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation,

   Rep. by its Vice-chairman and Managing Director, Musheerabad,

   Hyderabad.

2. The Regional Manager, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.

3. The Depot Manager, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Ravulapalem, East Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declare the Office Order dated 18-12-2002 and 20-12-2002 issued by the 2nd and 3rd respondents in disengaging the petitioners from service as illegal and arbitrary and set aside the same insofar as petitioners are concerned and direct the 2nd respondent to reinstate the petitioners with all benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.P.GOVINDA RAJULU
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: MR.Y.V.SWAMY, SC for APSRTC

The Court made the following Order:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6517 OF 2003

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed against the orders dated 18-12-2002 and 20-12-2002 issued by the second and third respondent respectively in disengaging the petitioners from service and to direct the second respondent to reinstate the petitioners into service with all benefits.

This Court, while admitting the writ petition, directed that if any casual conductors are continued in Krishna region or if any casual conductors who are juniors to the petitioners in East Godavari region are continued in service, the petitioners shall also be continued.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the above direction, the cases of the petitioners were considered and are permitted to work in the East Godavari region. Hence no further orders are required to be passed in this writ petition. However, it is made clear that if any casual conductors, who are juniors to the petitioners in East Godavari region are continued, the petitioners shall also be continued.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

25-08-2004

usd

To

1. Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation,

Rep. by its Vice-chairman and Managing Director, Musheerabad,

Hyderabad.

2. The Regional Manager, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.

3. The Depot Manager, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Ravulapalem, East Godavari District.

4. Two CD copies.